

Summary Report

GCCCD Governance Survey - District Strategic Planning & Budget Council (DSP&BC)


Q1 - Please identify your position at Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District:



Q2 - Please select the place where you primarily work.




Q3 - As of the end of the 2018-19 academic year, for how many years did you



continuously serve on this council?

Q4 - Excluding this council, on how many other Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community

College District councils did you serve during the 2018-19 academic year?



Q5 - Please rate how often this council's processes, interactions, and outcomes during

2018-19 reflected each of the following characteristics:

#	Field	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Almost Always	Don't Know/NA	Total
1	COLLABORATIVE: Inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, facilitated meaningful dialogue	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	14.29% 2	78.57% 11	0.00% 0	14
2	TRANSPARENT: Easy to access information and council documentation; clearly defined roles, responsibilities, and processes; effective and meaningful communication with the District community	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	7.14% 1	85.71% 12	0.00% 0	14
3	EVIDENCE-BASED: Incorporated relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative data and information; decisions or recommendations not based solely on assertion, speculation, or personal anecdote	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	21.43% 3	71.43% 10	0.00% 0	14
4	EFFECTIVE: Made progress toward the council's goals/intended results	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	14.29% 2	64.29% 9	14.29% 2	14
5	EFFICIENT: Made efficient use of members' time, expertise, skills, and effort; served the council's specified purposes in the best possible manner	0.00% 0	14.29% 2	42.86% 6	42.86% 6	0.00% 0	14

Q6 - Thinking about your service on this council during the 2087-19 academic year,

please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements:

#	Field	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know/NA	Total
1	I felt comfortable contributing ideas	7.14% 1	7.14% 1	42.86% 6	42.86% 6	0.00% 0	14
2	My ideas were treated with respect, whether or not others agreed with them	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	35.71% 5	57.14% 8	0.00% 0	14
3	I had sufficient opportunities to provide input into council recommendations	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 7	42.86% 6	0.00% 0	14

7 - Please rate the following aspects of this council's work during the 2018-19 academic

year:

#	Field	Very Poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Don't Know/NA	Total
1	Quality of communication within the council	0.00% 0	14.29% 2	42.86% 6	35.71% 5	7.14% 1	14
2	Quality of information flow from the council to constituency groups	7.14% 1	7.14% 1	35.71% 5	21.43% 3	28.57% 4	14
3	Quality of information flow from constituency groups to the council	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	35.71% 5	21.43% 3	35.71% 5	14
4	Quality of communication by the council with the campus community as a whole	14.29% 2	14.29% 2	42.86% 6	14.29% 2	14.29% 2	14
5	Access to information needed for council deliberations	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	42.86% 6	42.86% 6	7.14% 1	14
6	Access to other resources needed for the council to work effectively	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	42.86% 6	21.43% 3	35.71% 5	14

Q8 - Please rate your agreement with the following statements regarding this council

during the 2018-19 academic year:

#	Field	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know/NA	Total
1	Council members clearly understood the mandate and charge of the council	0.00% 0	21.43% 3	42.86% 6	21.43% 3	14.29% 2	14
2	Meetings were held at the regularly scheduled time	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	50.00% 7	42.86% 6	0.00% 0	14
3	Meeting agendas were available to members at the start of each council meeting	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 7	42.86% 6	7.14% 1	14
4	Draft minutes of prior meetings were available to members at the start of each council meeting for approval	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	35.71% 5	28.57% 4	28.57% 4	14
5	The council started on time (within 5 minutes of expected start time)	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 7	35.71% 5	7.14% 1	14
6	The council ended on time (within 5 minutes of expected end time)	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	42.86% 6	42.86% 6	7.14% 1	14
7	The length of the meetings was adequate to perform the work of the council	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 7	42.86% 6	7.14% 1	14
8	The chair(s) operated the council effectively	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	57.14% 8	28.57% 4	7.14% 1	14

Q9 - Based on your experience on the council this academic year, please describe how

the council's work could be improved.

Based on your experience on the council this academic year, please describe...

Start on time, clarify the purpose of the group. Big important issues are not thoroughly discussed because the various constituent groups are in attendance (i.e. looming deficit after faculty raises)

A bit more advanced notice on back-up documents to be discussed in the meeting would be good.

Q10 - Sustainability Committee - Please rate your agreement with the following statements

regarding this committee during the 2018-19 academic year:

#	Field	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know/NA	Total
1	The Sustainability Committee provides useful information/recommendations/feedback that assists the Council with decision making.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 4	7.14% 1	64.29% 9	14
2	The Sustainability Committee follows through on commitments made to the Council.	0.00% 0	14.29% 2	14.29% 2	7.14% 1	64.29% 9	14
3	The Sustainability Committee contains an appropriate range of expertise/experience to make it an effective committee.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	23.08% 3	15.38% 2	61.54% 8	13

Q48 - Based on your experience with the Sustainability Committee this academic year,

please describe how the committee's work could be improved.

I don't think I noticed anything from the Sustainability Committee

I am not aware of this committee's work.

It feels like we talk a lot about things but no action is taken.

Never heard anything from them.