



GROSSMONT-CUYAMACA
COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

STUDENT SUCCESS COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, November 20, 2014
Via Video Conferencing

MEMBERSHIP	Scott Thayer, VPSS, CC (co-chair)	Chris Hill, Interim VPSS, GC (co-chair)
	Wei Zhou, VPI, CC - absent	Katrina VanderWoude, VPAA, GC - absent
	Marsha Gable, Dean, Counseling, CC	Martha Clavelle, Dean, Counseling, GC
	Nicole Jones, Counseling Chair, CC	Cruz Cerda, Counseling Chair, GC - absent
	Alicia Munoz, Academic Senate President, CC - absent	Beth Smith, Interim Sr. Dean, College Planning & Institutional Effectiveness, GC
	Victor DeVore, Supervisor, Admissions & Records, CC	Mike Reese, Academic Dean, GC
	VACANT, Academic Dean, CC	Sue Gonda, Academic Senate President, GC
	Chris Tarman, Senior Dean, RPIE, District	Steve Baker, Faculty, GC - absent
	Emily Smith, Consultant, Information Systems - absent	Aaron Starck, Interim Dean, A&R/Financial Aid, GC
	Jessica Yakou, Student Success Coordinator, CC -- absent	Brian Nath, Senior Director, Information Systems, District
	Eric Lane, Information Systems, District - absent	Natalie Ray, High School/CTE Partnerships Program Director, GC -- absent
		Dave Dillon, Counseling Faculty, GC
RECORDER	Gwen Nix, CC	

1. College SSSP/Student Equity Plan Updates

S. Thayer informed committee members that each of the college's Student Success & Support Plans have been submitted to the State Chancellor's office. The Student Equity Plans will be submitted to the Governing Board for their review and approval at the upcoming Board meeting on December 9. Drs. Thayer and Smith will each be presenting their college's plan. The Student Equity Plans are due to the State Chancellor's office by January, 2015.

2. Priority Registration Groups (Jones)

N. Jones expressed some concerns as to how the registration priority groups are organized. Her main concern is that first semester new students, fully matriculated, fall into Group 9 because they have not completed 12 units. She thinks it's a student success issue, as many students are petitioning to get into a hiring registration priority group.

Discussion followed which included shortening the registration window for the spring semester, finding out how many students take advantage of their actual registration date/time, and shortening the deadline for when fall grades are due.

It was agreed that this topic warranted more discussion, and will be added to next month's agenda. There was the question of where a recommendation from the GCCCD-Student Success Committee goes regarding registration priorities; the recommendation should be presented at DCEC.

3. GradGuru (Hill)

C. Hill inquired of the committee if anyone has had the opportunity to preview the GradGuru app. The few that had reviewed the app thought it had good functionality, with some staff from Cuyamaca College noted that it had similar functionality as the Cuyamaca College app currently in use. Nath noted that there would have to be someone to maintain it and encourage students to op in. Hill noted that the company is willing to offer a discount for both colleges, and that Grossmont College is ready to move forward with an RFC. Tarman inquired as to whether students have taken a look at it; other schools have received favorably feedback on the program.

Hill concluded that she will touch base with committee members next week and see if the college should submit an RFC jointly or separately and discuss the discount later.

4. Student Services Tasks (Nath)

B. Nath reviewed with the committee the student services tasks associated with the Student Success Taskforce. While some of the tasks have been completed or currently in process, Nath would like a review of the outstanding tasks and reprioritize if necessary. It was agreed that the prioritization of the tasks should take place within the taskforce meetings.

5. Disclaimer – location on audit and wording, with demo (Nath)

B. Nath provided 3 different versions of a degree audit. He solicited ideas as to where the disclaimer should be placed and if the wording in the title of the disclaimer, or the disclaimer itself, should be changed.

After some discussion, it was agreed that the *disclaimer should be located at the top of the document*. It was also agreed that the document should remain as a **degree audit** since most CSUs and other schools use the same lingo. It was also noted that students are familiar with the words "degree audit". The committee thought that the document could be named: ***Degree Audit Limitations***.

S. Thayer adjourned the meeting at 3:05pm.