

APPENDIX C: TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS PRIORITIZATION RUBRIC

Points	Relationship to Strategic Plan	Mandate OR Support State-wide Initiative (Basic Skills, Student Success, Equity, Strong Workforce, OEI, OER, etc.)	Criticality / Justification / Urgency	Total Cost of Ownership (Human, Dollars, etc.)	Data-informed Technology	Resource Impact (Timeline, SOW, etc.)	Funding Impact (How much does it cost & from where are the dollars coming)	Evaluation of Technology
3	The technology clearly supports the vision of the Strategic Plan or other college or district plan.	The technology clearly supports a state-wide initiative or is a mandate.	The consequences of not supporting this technology are significant. (Such as security concerns, loss of FTES, mandates, accreditation, etc.)	The technology can be maintained with existing funding sources & staffing	The implementation of the technology is clearly supported by qualitative or quantitative data, e.g. surveys, observations, SLO or other assessment data, institutional research data, or other reports or data.	The technology is straightforward requiring minimal resources to implement. (Time to implement, employee hours, nbr of individuals needed to implement, need for campus/ dist proj mgr, vendor cooperation, integration with current systems, etc.)	The technology requires minimal funding to complete or funding has been identified.	There is a clear plan for evaluating the proposed technology.
2	The technology somewhat supports the vision of the Strategic Plan or other college or district plans.	The technology somewhat supports a statewide initiative.	The consequences of not supporting this technology are moderate.	The technology will require moderate increases in funding & staffing.	The implementation of the technology is somewhat supported by qualitative or quantitative data.	The technology will require a moderate amount of resources to implement.	The technology requires somewhat significant funding to complete &/or Partial funding has been identified.	The plan for evaluating the proposed technology is somewhat clear.
1	The technology has little or no support for the vision of the Strategic Plan or other college or district plan.	This technology has no relation to a statewide initiative and is not a mandate.	The consequences of not supporting this technology are relatively minor.	The technology will require significant increases in funding & staffing	The implementation of the technology is not supported by qualitative or quantitative data.	The technology will involve a significant amount of resources to implement.	The technology requires significant funding to complete &/or Funding has not been identified	There is no plan for evaluating the proposed technology, or the plan will not achieve desired evaluation results.
Weighted	X3	X2	X2	X2				